GYATA TABIRI

Inspired. Precise. Purposeful.

Review generated interfaces with the same care as any build

By Gyata Tabiri · Revised November 2, 2026

A convincing screen can make an unfinished feature feel real. Generated work makes that gap especially easy to overlook: the wording may confidently describe a process that the code has not actually connected.

Follow one promise to its result

Take a “Send message” button. Does it reach a handler? What does that handler accept? Does the confirmation depend on its response? I would trace that flow before polishing the success screen. A convincing description of delivery is not evidence that delivery exists.

Ask what the draft assumed

A generated page may introduce a service, testimonial, statistic, or integration simply because it makes the layout feel complete. Each of those additions needs an owner and a source. Where there is no evidence, the content should change; persuasive wording cannot supply the missing fact.

Review the parts the preview conceals

Focus order, validation, error recovery, destinations, and long content still need attention. I would test ordinary inputs and deliberate failures, keeping test messages separate from real inquiries. The origin of the code does not change the responsibility for what the page tells its user.

Keep authorship attached to judgment

Automation can produce a draft quickly. Someone still needs to decide what belongs, explain the implementation, and maintain the result. For portfolio imagery, that includes distinguishing a new illustrative cover from original project evidence. The distinction is part of telling the work accurately.

A draft becomes useful when its assumptions have been examined. The speed of its arrival should not decide how much confidence we place in it.

Read alongside: What a screenshot leaves out · Keeping a form’s response truthful.